

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,323	02/26/2002	Kazumasa Yashiro	791_187	4109
25191	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
BURR & BROWN			CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE,	NY 13261-7068		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$ \triangle$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{A}
	10/083,323	YASHIRO ET AL.	J
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> 26 February 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ì		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	oplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
application from the International B			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the centried copies not i	eceivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/6/02</u>.)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200-	40708

Application/Control Number: 10/083,323

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Suggestions

1. In claim 15, "said convex part" lacks proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is suggested, but not required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-37, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oweis et al (U.S. Patent 5,972,532). The reference is directed to a lithium secondary cell (see col. 3, line 22). The cell comprises a spirally-would electrode body comprising aluminum positive electrode connections (i.e., foils) and copper negative electrode connections extending axially from the edges of the spiral (see the Figures; col. 3, line 20). The electrode connections are bent substantially orthogonally and are laser-welded to respective current collection tabs (8) (see Fig. 3; col. 2, line 49). The collection tabs are configured in a cross shape and function as cover members (see Fig. 1). Regarding claim 10, columnar crystals would inherently be formed from the electrode connections toward the tabs upon laser welding. Regarding claims 9, 12, 13,

Art Unit: 1746

as recited in claim 38.

15-31, and 33-37, these claims are not seen to further limit the structure of the claimed battery and thus are accorded little patentable weight. Patentable weight has been accorded to the limitations in claims 12 and 13 that the collectors are welded to the edges of the foils, as this conveys structure to the final product. However, the other process limitations in the above-noted claims are given little weight, pursuant to MPEP §2113. Regarding claims 39-41, these claims recite intended uses and are also given little weight (although the reference does teach that the batteries are useful in electric vehicles; see col. 3, line 34). See also MPEP §2114.

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oweis et al.
 The reference is applied to claims 1, 3-37, and 39-41 for the reasons stated above.
 However, the reference does not expressly teach that the capacity of the battery is at least 2 Ah,

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use a largeApplication/Control Number: 10/083,323

Art Unit: 1746

capacity battery as the battery of Oweis. As noted above, the reference teaches that the battery is

useful in electric vehicles. Since batteries for this particular application typically have a large

capacity, this teaching would provide sufficient guidance for the artisan to use such a large

capacity battery as the battery of Oweis.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oweis et al in

view of Watanabe et al (U.S. Patent 6,114,059).

Oweis is applied to claims 1, 3-37, and 39-41 for the reasons stated above. However, the

reference does not expressly teach that the battery comprises electrode covers comprising

internal terminals, external terminals, and cell covers, as recited in claim 2.

In Figure 2, Watanabe teaches a spirally-wound battery comprising electrode covers,

each comprising a cover (5), an internal terminal (7), and an external terminal (6).

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use the

electrode covers of Watanabe et al. in the battery of Oweis et al. In column 3, line 38, Watanabe

teaches the following:

Thus, the present cylinder-shaped secondary battery can radiate the heat generated therein efficiently, and can keep the internal pressure increment minimum. Moreover, even

As such, the artisan would be motivated to use the electrode covers of Watanabe et al. in the

battery of Oweis et al in hopes of radiating heat efficiently.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached at (571) 272-1414. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Patent Examiner Art Unit 1746 July 9, 2004